

VBHRC

Project Management and Oversight Panel

**Objectives of the Process:**

- 1) To fund innovative, collaborative, translational research projects that elevate the level of sponsored research and have the potential to significantly improve human health and create high value jobs in the Commonwealth.
- 2) To develop a process which is robust, objective, defensible as to design and execution and which yields powerful collaborations that produce world class technologies, products and services.

<b>Grant Application Name</b>
-------------------------------

**Reviewer Name and Organization** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Scoring:**

- 4= Exceeds Expectations      3= Meets Expectations      2= Falls short of Expectations in some aspects  
1= Does not meet expectations      NA= Not applicable for scoring

**Scoring Criteria**

**Market Need:**

This translational research project addresses a large unmet need and it can lead to significantly improving human health.

- Medical/Life science need (clinical) Score \_\_\_\_\_
- Innovative/Breakthrough Technology Score \_\_\_\_\_
- Competitive advantage over other approaches Score \_\_\_\_\_
- Size of market (patients/\$s) Score \_\_\_\_\_

**Market Need Average Score** \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer Comments on Market Need:

**Level of Collaboration:**

- This project encompasses substantive real collaboration between and among Virginia research universities and industry Score \_\_\_\_\_
- There is a defined process to manage the collaboration including clear accountabilities, an internal communication plan and a methodology to declare and solve breakdowns Score \_\_\_\_\_
- The collaboration has true synergies that will ensure creative debate, innovation, and the achievement of critical mass Score \_\_\_\_\_

**Level of Collaboration Average Score \_\_\_\_\_**

Reviewer Comments on Level of Collaboration:

  
  
  
  
  

---

**Scientific Merit**

- Scientific and technical feasibility Score \_\_\_\_\_
- Stage of technical development Score \_\_\_\_\_
- Scientific and technical expertise/credibility Score \_\_\_\_\_
- The project technology is superior to competing approaches Score \_\_\_\_\_
- Scientific and product development milestones and timelines are clearly defined Score \_\_\_\_\_
- There is adequate evidence that resources (financial and human) are adequate to achieve the timelines Score \_\_\_\_\_

**Scientific Merit Average Score \_\_\_\_\_**

Reviewer Comments on Scientific Merit:

**Commercialization**

- There is a clear understanding of who is the targeted customer, what the unmet need is, the size of the market (patients/\$s) and what the pathway to commercialization is Score \_\_\_\_\_
- The commercialization value proposition is clear, robust and compelling Score \_\_\_\_\_
- The technical solution is significantly scalable to achieve the commercialization objectives Score \_\_\_\_\_
- There is a clear collaborative pathway to transfer know-how and technology from inventors to the commercialization or industry partner Score \_\_\_\_\_

**Commercialization Average Score \_\_\_\_\_**

Reviewer Comments on Commercialization:

---

**Intellectual Property:**

- The intellectual property portfolio and patent strategy are adequate to provide barriers to entry and to obtain freedom to operate Score \_\_\_\_\_
- The applicable technology transfer office of the universities are aligned with the goals of the project and the proposed licensing terms will not be a barrier to commercialization Score \_\_\_\_\_

**Intellectual Property Average Score \_\_\_\_\_**

Reviewer Comments on Intellectual Property:

**Management and Leadership:**

- The management and scientific team have the expertise and will allocate the necessary time to achieve the goals Score\_\_\_\_\_
- If there is a gap in the management or scientific team, there is a milestone plan to recruit the talent needed Score\_\_\_\_\_

**Management and Leadership Average Score \_\_\_\_\_**

Reviewer Comments on Management and Leadership:

---

**Follow On Funding and Economic Development:**

- The project is well positioned to attract follow on funding for the next stage of development and ultimately funding for commercialization Score\_\_\_\_\_
- Economic impact and job creation for Virginia Score\_\_\_\_\_

**Follow on Funding Score \_\_\_\_\_**

Reviewer Comments on Follow On Funding:

**Additional Reviewer Comments:**

---

---

**Market Need Average Score** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Level of Collaboration Average Score** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Scientific Merit Average Score** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Commercialization Average Score** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Intellectual Property Average Score** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Management and Leadership Score** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Follow on Funding Score** \_\_\_\_\_

**Overall Application Score (max = 28):** \_\_\_\_\_

**Recommendation to fund: yes\no:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Reviewer Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_